

Position Statement

Reimbursement for Approved Category I CPT Codes

This Position Statement was developed as an educational tool based on the opinion of the authors. It is not a product of a systematic review. Readers are encouraged to consider the information presented and reach their own conclusions.

AMA's Current, Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes are divided into three categories. Category I codes are for well-established services and procedures. Category II codes are used for performance measurement, data collection and test results, among other similar activities. Category III codes are temporary codes for emerging technology, services and procedures.

The AAOS believes unequivocally that if a service or procedure has a Category I CPT code, it is not experimental or investigational. Therefore, payers should not deny reimbursement for these services and procedures when they are medically necessary¹ by claiming that they are experimental or investigational. When payers do otherwise, they threaten the health of the public and unjustifiably interfere with the physician/patient relationship.

All Category I codes have been reviewed by the American Medical Association's Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) Editorial Panel and have met the following criteria:

- that the service/procedure has received approval from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the specific use of devices or drugs;
- that the suggested procedure/service is a distinct service performed by many physicians/practitioners across the United States;
- that the clinical efficacy of the service/procedure is well established and documented in U.S. peer review literature;
- that the suggested service/procedure is well established and documented in U.S. peer review literature;
- that the suggested service/procedure is neither a fragmentation of an existing procedure/service nor currently reportable by one or more existing codes; and

Therefore, when a physician provides such a service or procedure and has documented his or her work properly and according to payer guidelines, the payer should not deny reimbursement for that service or procedure by claiming it is experimental or investigational.

¹ In Section 7.16 of the May 21, 2003 'Aetna class action law suit' Settlement Agreement, 'medical necessity' is defined as "health care services that a physician, exercising prudent clinical judgment, would provide to a patient for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating an illness, injury, disease or its symptoms, and that are (a) in accordance with generally accepted standards of medical practice; (b) clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and (c) not primarily for the convenience of the patient, physician, or other health care provider, and not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis and treatment of the patient's illness, injury or disease."

December 2007 American Association of Orthopaedic Surgeons .

This material may not be modified without the express written permission of the American Association of Orthopaedic Surgeons.

Position Statement 1173

For additional information, contact the Public Relations Department at 847-384-4036.

© 1995-2011 by the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. "All Rights Reserved." This website and its contents may not be reproduced in whole or in part without written permission. "American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons" and its associated seal and "American Association of Orthopaedic Surgeons" and its logo are all registered U.S. trademarks and may not be used without written permission.